

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EXCEL TEMPLATE:

1. Do not leave blank rows above or between comments.
2. Do not modify or delete the instructions or the column headings (*i.e.* the grey areas).
3. Each comment must have an associated topic and recommendation.
4. All formatting (*i.e.* bullets) will be lost when this file is uploaded to the Online Comment Table.
5. If necessary, adjust the cell width and height in order to view all text.
6. Cutting and pasting comments from WORD documents cannot include hard returns (spaces between paragraphs).
7. If you would like to create paragraphs within a single cell, please use a proper carriage return (ALT & ENTER).

TOPIC

Be as specific as you think is appropriate; for example a section or page of the document, a recommendation #, general comment, etc.

COMMENT

Comments should contain all the information needed for the proponent and the Board to understand the rationale for the accompanying recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommendations can be for the proponent or for the Board. Recommendations should be as specific as possible, relating the issues raised in the "comment"

Hazardous Waste Containment Facilities (HWCF) Final Design	No major concerns are raised for the design.	
HWCF Design, Section 1.1, page 1	As pointed in the Report (Section 1.1, page 1), "petroleum hydrocarbon contaminated material has accumulated within, and potentially about, the landfarm requiring remediation and/or off-site disposal prior to construction of the West Cell. Any assessment for potential contamination and/or remediation of existing landfarm is outside the scope of this report." The assessment for potential contamination and/or remediation of existing landfarm is requested.	

HWCF Design, Section 7.2, page 11	Section 7.2 (page 11) states that “De Beers has expressed interest in maintaining operational flexibility of the new HWCFs. De Beers will be submitting an Operations Plan under a separate cover to describe the operational intent of the facility in accordance with the Snap Lake Mine Waste Management Plan”. It is requested that De Beers submit the Operations Plan as soon as possible and clarify the operational flexibility of the new HWCFs.	
Draft Waste Management Plan	The update of HWCF is justifiable. It is appreciated that De Beers updated the statement regarding 2014 stack testing.	
Draft Waste Management Plan, Section 3.5.1, page 23	It is stated in Section 3.5.1, Table III, page 23, that the disposal of hydrocarbon contaminated oversize rock (>3' diameter) are not suitable for remediation or bulk transport off-site. De Beers planned to place this kind of contaminated materials within the North Pile (located greater than 30 m from the high water mark) and cover with processed kimberlite to prevent migration potential due to water infiltration. Further justification is requested: Are there any alternative options for disposal of this kind of contaminated materials? Are there any risk and impacts of contacted water seeped out of the North Pile?	SLEMA does not believe that it is a good idea to place hydrocarbon contaminated oversized rocks into the North Pile. It is recommended that De Beers construct a bigger HWCF to contain all hydrocarbon contaminated materials.
Draft Waste Management Plan	There are typo errors in the numbering of the Revision History and the statement regarding 2014 stack testing (Section 3.1, page 19).	Proofreading before submission is requested.