GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EXCEL TEMPLATE:

- 1. Do not leave blank rows above or between comments.
- 2. Do not modify or delete the instructions or the column headings (i.e. the grey areas).
- 3. Each comment must have an associated topic and recommendation.
- 4. All formatting (i.e. bullets) will be lost when this file is uploaded to the Online Comment Table.
- 5. If necessary, adjust the cell width and height in order to view all text.
- 6. Cutting and pasting comments from WORD documents cannot include hard returns (spaces between paragraphs).
- 7. If you would like to create paragraphs within a single cell, please use a proper carriage return (ALT & ENTER).

<u>TOPIC</u>	COMMENT	RECOMMENDATION
Be as specific as you think is appropriate; for example a section or page of the document, a recommendation #, general comment, etc.	Comments should contain all the information needed for the proponent and the Board to understand the rationale for the accompanying recommendation.	Recommendations can be for the proponent or for the Board. Recommendations should be as specific as possible, relating the issues raised in the "comment"
Section 1.1 Background, page 6	it is stated that "(I)t is necessary to permit the West cell in 2014 and construct the initial phases of the West Cell in 2014/2015 to receive processed kimberlite and keep the mine operating". However, Figure 2 in page 13 indicates that West Cell will be developed in 2016.	Clarification is requested.
Section 2.3 Operational Procedures and Geometric Sequencing Options, Figure 3, page 13	Raise North Pile Facility by Up to 501m is not approved by the MVLWB. The MVLWB only approved the Phase IV Raise of the Starter Cell up to 489.5m (plus 4m of non-acid generating cover material) on September 25, 2013.	Clarification is requested. Further raise of the North Pile must be reviewed by stakeholders. Without proper consultation and public review, it is not appropriate to approve the maximum height of 501m De Beers proposed in this Plan.
Section 2.3.3 North Pile Facility Components, Starter Cell, page 14-15	There will be a Phase IV Raise for the Starter Cell, which is clearly stated in Figure 2. However, no description of Phase IV Raise is provided in this Section, except in Figure 4.	More details for Phase IV Raise of the Starter Cell should be provided.

Section 2.3.3 North Pile Facility Components, East Cell, page 15	It is stated that "(I)t is expected that the embankments of the East Cell will be constructed using paste and rock fill". However, during the SLWG on May 28, 2014, Peter Mooney claimed that "(W)e have paste on the NP, but we can't get the strength required to build with it" (page 4 of the Meeting Minutes). It is also stated in Section 2.6 (page 20) that "(T)o date it has not been practical to make a paste of the PK that is suitable for embankment construction. There are no longer plans to use paste to construct any cells that comprise the North Pile and there are no near term plans for paste deposition on surface, however, paste will continue to be investigated".	Consistency is requested.
Section 2.3.3 North Pile Facility Components, West Cell, page 15	It is stated that (A) life of mine plan will be submitted to the Board in June 2014 with various options for West Cell construction. However, no such plan was received until July 8, 2014.	
Section 2.3.3 North Pile Facility Components, Starter Cell, page 16	The angles for the embankment are 1:1.5 for both upstream and downstream, which is not consistent with the statements provided by Peter Mooney during the SLWG on May 28. He stated that upstream would remain 1:2 and downstream would change from 1:3 to 1:2 (Slide 9 and 26 of his presentation and page 6 of the Meeting Minutes).	Clarification is requested.
Section 2.7.2, North Pile Facility Infrastructure and Performance, page 23	If the storage of "lowest practical levels" of the surface capture and storage facilities is 24,000-26,000 m3, and the full levels storage is 204,174 m3, then the percentage should be 11.8-12.7%, instead of 15-17%.	Correction is requested.
Section 2.7.2, North Pile Facility Infrastructure and Performance, page 23 and 24	there are two numbers for the full levels storage in page 23 and 24, i.e. 204,174 m3 in page 23 and 204175 m3 in page 24.	Consistency is requested.
Capacity of the Water Management Pond (WMP), page 24, 25 and 31	There are three numbers for the capacity of the WMP, i.e. 91,324 m3 in page 24, 92,427 m3 and 92,472 m3 in page 25, and 92,762 m3 in page 31	Consistency is requested.

Table 4 in page 24 vs. Table 2-1		
of Section 2.1.6 of the Water		Consistency is requested.
Management Plan in page 31	The two tables are not consistent for Perimeter Sumps 1 to 5 and the WMP	
Water Management Pond	Three functions are described for the WMP. However, the WMP also receives	Appropriate functions of the WMP
(WMP), page 25	overflow from the Water Treatment Plant.	should be added into the section.
Auxiliary Water Treatment Plant		
and Water Management Pond		
Skid Filters, page 29	Where are the Skid Filters/Plant and how do they work?	details are requested.
	It is stated that "(D)ocumentation of the routine inspections of the North Pile	it is noted in the Plan that there are
	embankment should be submitted following each inspection as indicated in	many excerpts from other
	item 2.0 Roles and Responsibilities." However, the underlined item is not	documents, and sometimes they
Section 3.1 Details and Rationale	detailed in any other part of the Plan. Is that an excerpt from other monitoring	make confusions. Appropriate editing
for Monitoring, page 36	program or management plan?	and referencing are needed.