

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR EXCEL TEMPLATE:

1. Do not leave blank rows above or between comments.
2. Do not modify or delete the instructions or the column headings (*i.e.* the grey areas).
3. Each comment must have an associated topic and recommendation.
4. All formatting (*i.e.* bullets) will be lost when this file is uploaded to the Online Comment Table.
5. If necessary, adjust the cell width and height in order to view all text.
6. Cutting and pasting comments from WORD documents cannot include hard returns (spaces between paragraphs).
7. If you would like to create paragraphs within a single cell, please use a proper carriage return (ALT & ENTER).

TOPIC

COMMENT

RECOMMENDATION

Be as specific as you think is appropriate; for example a section or page of the document, a recommendation #,

Comments should contain all the information needed for the proponent and the Board to understand the rationale for the accompanying recommendation.

Recommendations can be for the proponent or for the Board. Recommendations should be as specific as possible, relating the issues raised in the "comment" column to an action that you believe is necessary.

Section 2.1.4 (page 12)	It is stated that "the first sequence of actions during the revise step is aimed at verifying that there is, in fact, a risk, <u>since every trend is not a risk</u> ". The underlined sentence is confusing.	Clarification is requested.
Section 2.2 (page 13)	It is stated that De Beers intends to develop a <u>secondary Monitoring Response Plan</u> that would be used in conjunction with the Adaptive Management Plan (AdMP). The Monitoring Response Plan is said to be focused on responses to the results of on-site monitoring programs and provide the linkage between the terrestrial and aquatic monitoring programs and the operational management of the mine. SLEMA is looking forward to reviewing the Plan being submitted in Q4 2011.	More details are expected in the upcoming secondary Monitoring Response Plan.
Section 2.2 (page 13 and 14)	It is stated that the <u>effects levels</u> and <u>significance thresholds</u> will be used to determine appropriate <u>action levels</u> for adaptive response(s). The three terms are not defined.	Definition for specific terms should be provided.

Section 3.1.3 (page 17)	It is stated that there are no Canadian Water Quality Guidelines for the protection of aquatic life for TDS, calcium, or chloride. In addition, one action level in the previous AdMP was removed, and that is BC water quality guideline for chloride. It is SLEMA's opinion that guidelines from other jurisdictions such as the United States (US) and the Province of British Columbia (BC) should be considered if CCME guidelines are not available.	More details are expected in the upcoming secondary Monitoring Response Plan.
Section 3.1.3 (page 17)	Three action levels are provided. The first one is that the increase of TDS, calcium, or chloride exceeds that predicted in the <u>updated modeling efforts</u> , which are not presented in this Plan.	More details are expected in the upcoming secondary Monitoring Response Plan.
Section 3.1.3 (page 17)	The second action level is that the whole lake average concentration of TDS is predicted to exceed the water license limit. Water License limit as an action level is questionable, because it involves compliance issue.	In order to avoid non-compliance, a value lower than the water licence limit (350 mg/L) should be considered as a warning signal/action level.
Section 3.1.3 (page 17)	The third action level is that the effects threshold for TDS or calcium is exceeded beyond the diffuser mixing zone. The effects threshold for calcium appears not to be provided, and the diffuser mixing zone not defined either.	More details are expected in the upcoming secondary Monitoring Response Plan.
Section 3.2.2.2 (page 21)	It is stated that the locations of the thermistors are presented in Appendix I. However, there appears no such a appendix in this Plan.	Correction is requested.
Section 3.2.2.3 (page 22)	It is stated that the locations of the piezometers are presented in Appendix I. However, there appears no such a appendix in this Plan.	Correction is requested.
Section 3.2.3 (page 23)	" <u>Two or more readings</u> " is used in the measurements of thermistors and survey monitoring points and monuments. How much are they?	Clarification is requested.
Section 3.3.3 (page 27)	Three action levels are provided, but without specific values.	More details are expected in the upcoming secondary Monitoring Response Plan.
Section 3.4.3 (page 30)	limits. Water License limits as action levels are questionable, because it involves compliance issue.	than the water licence limit should be considered as a warning signal/action level.